UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,997	04/15/2004	Herbert Huettlin	03928- P0008A	9221
24126 7590 05/22/2007 ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC			EXAMINER	
986 BEDFORD	STREET	LOPEZ, FRANK D		
STAMFORD,	STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/825,997	HUETTLIN, HERBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	:				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the fidal drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3745

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following:

Paragraph 67 states "Each piston pair has one bearing section 52 for mounting the piston pair on the pivot axis 42...The bearing section 52 and the side wall section 54...are constructed integrally with one another and are arranged no the same side of the respective piston pair". Paragraph 69 states "The bearing section 52 and the bearing section 56 each have a drilled hole 60 or 62 with which the piston pairs 32/36 and 34/38 are pivotally mounted on a fixed journal 64".

Clearly the piston pairs pivot about the fixed journal 64, which is fixed in the holes (60, 62) of the "bearing" section (52, 56). Using bearings for these "bearing" sections is misleading, since these "bearing" sections do not have a bearing. Suggest that the "bearing" sections be renamed –support sections--. Furthermore saying the each piston pair has one "bearing" section is misleading since both "bearing" sections support the fixed journal.

Paragraph 70 states "The bearing sections 52 and 56 extend in the direction of the pivot axis 42 over approximately half the width of the respective piston pair 32/36 and 34/38, respectively...the piston pairs 32/36 and 34/38 are mounted in their entirety over the entire length of the journal 64". If the "bearing" sections are in the side wall sections and the piston pairs are mounted to the fixed journal, as indicated above, it is unclear how the "bearing" sections can extend over half the width of the piston pairs.

Appropriate correction is required.

The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication (paragraph 95 and 101) is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-28 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 line 13-14 "each piston pair having a bearing section" and line 18-20 "the bearing section and the side wall sections are constructed integrally with one another and arranged on the same side of the respective piston pair" is wrong, based on the objection the specification above.

In claim 2 line 2-4 "the bearing section extends...approximately half the width of the piston pair in the direction of the pivot axis" is wrong, based on the objection the specification above. In claim 2 the limitation "in the direction of the pivot axis" is repeated (line 2 and 3-4), and so one of them should be deleted.

In claim 9 line 4 "an end element" should be –the side wall section--, to agree with claim 1 line 15. Similar changes should be made to claims depending on claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim is 1, 2, 5-11. 23, 24 and 28, inasmuch as they are definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Berry (se discussion below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 3745

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 12, 13, 16-20, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Berry. Berry discloses an oscillating piston machine comprising 4 pistons in a housing (1) having a spherical inner wall (2) and rotating together about a rotational axis, passing through the center of the housing; wherein diametrically opposing pistons of the 4 pistons form 2 rigid pairs (A, A'; B, B'), which pivot about a journal (7) forming a common pivot axis perpendicular to the rotational axis; wherein the piston pairs are arranged in criss-cross fashion such that working chambers (26) are formed between opposing working faces of the piston pairs; the housing having 2 semi-spherical side wall sections (4, 6) integrally formed with a support, which supports the journal; wherein each piston has a conical roller (18) with a roller axis inclined relative to the piston working face between 30-50 degrees (e.g. fig 2); wherein each of two forks (28) have two ends sections between the side wall sections and directly connected to respective ones of the side wall sections; but does not disclose that the forks are releasably connected to the side wall sections.

Official notice is taken that it is well known to releasably connect elements of a machine together, for the purpose of ease of manufacturing. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to releasably connect the forks of Berry to the side wall sections, for the purpose of ease of manufacturing.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Berry in view of Applicant's admitted prior art. Berry discloses all the elements of claim 22, as discussed in the rejection of claim 12 above, including that there is a variable spaces

Art Unit: 3745

between rear faces of the pistons and the fork; but does not disclose that the variable spaces form admission pressure chambers and/or cooling chambers.

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches, for known osicllatign machines, that variable spaces form admission pressure chambers and/or cooling chambers, for the purpose of precompressing combustion air or cooling the piston, respectively (paragraph 42).

Since Berry and Applicant's admitted prior art are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Applicant's admitted prior art would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Berry. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the variable spaces of Berry into admission pressure chambers and/or cooling chambers, as taught by Applicant's admitted prior art, for the purpose of precompressing combustion air or cooling the piston, respectively.

Conclusion

Claims 3, 4, 14, 15 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00 AM -4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Help Desk, whose telephone number is 1-800-PTO-9199.

F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3745

May 16, 2007